



Honorable _____

August 18, 1983

Lawrence A. Augusta

Application of Board Rules to Contract Appraisers for
County Assessors

_____ has asked me to respond to your memo of August 9 transmitting _____ : question whether a private consultant working as a contract appraiser for a county assessor is required to adhere to the Board's property tax rules.

It seems to me that Mr. _____ inquiry is begging the question. A county assessor may contract with a private expert to assist him in appraising the property, but he may not delegate to him his duty under Section 405. As stated in the case of County of Tuolumne v. State Board of Equalization (1962) 206 Cal.App. 2d 352, "When the assessor adopted and placed on the assessment rolls the value which resulted from the engineers' work, it became the official act of the assessor and likewise an official record of Tuolumne County." It is the assessor's act and not the private appraiser's act which places the value on the roll, and the assessor is bound to follow the Board rules in making that assessment as provided by Section 15606 of the Government Code. The assessment appeals board must follow the Board rules for the same reason. It is my understanding that the problems to which Mr. Ancel refers occurred at an assessment appeals hearing.

Since Rule 468 applies to oil and gas producing properties and not to other mineral properties, which are mined, it is inappropriate to apply Rule 468. I will assume without knowing that perlite is a mineral which should be assessed pursuant to Rule 469; however, I will defer to experts, such as Ray Rothermel, as to whether this is the appropriate rule to apply.

It seems to me that the role of the assessment appeals board, in this case, is to determine whether the testimony of the outside expert is relevant to the correct value applying the appropriate rules and regulations. Not having been at the

Honorable

August 18, 1983

Lawrence A. Augusta

Application of Board Rules to Contract Appraisers for
County Assessors

has asked me to respond to your
memo of August 9 transmitting : question whether
a private consultant working as a contract appraiser for a
county assessor is required to adhere to the Board's property
tax rules.

It seems to me that Mr. inquiry is begging
the question. A county assessor may contract with a private
expert to assist him in appraising the property, but he may
not delegate to him his duty under Section 405. As stated in
the case of County of Tuolumne v. State Board of Equalization
(1962) 206 Cal.App. 2d 352, "When the assessor adopted and
placed on the assessment rolls the value which resulted from
the engineers' work, it became the official act of the assessor
and likewise an official record of Tuolumne County." It is
the assessor's act and not the private appraiser's act which
places the value on the roll, and the assessor is bound to
follow the Board rules in making that assessment as provided
by Section 15606 of the Government Code. The assessment
appeals board must follow the Board rules for the same reason.
It is my understanding that the problems to which Mr. Ancel
refers occurred at an assessment appeals hearing.

Since Rule 468 applies to oil and gas producing
properties and not to other mineral properties, which are
mined, it is inappropriate to apply Rule 468. I will assume
without knowing that perlite is a mineral which should be
assessed pursuant to Rule 469; however, I will defer to experts,
such as Ray Rothermel, as to whether this is the appropriate
rule to apply.

It seems to me that the role of the assessment appeals
board, in this case, is to determine whether the testimony of
the outside expert is relevant to the correct value applying
the appropriate rules and regulations. Not having been at the